T. Tregrant — Pltff
against — } In Case
Benjamin Cobb — Deft
Henry Booth for Allen Stephenson — Pltff
against — } In Case
Thomas Pritlow Shff & adm. Lewis D. Brunch dec.d — Deft
Thomas A West — Pltff
against — } In Case
Thomas Ketchum — Deft
Richard H. Brunch — Pltff
against — } In Case
E. F. Mills — Deft
Nancy Edwards — Pltff
against — } In Case
Joseph C. Mudeugh — Deft

For reasons appearing to the Court these causes are continued till the next quarterly Term.

Laurence Boso — Pltff
against — } In Case
Uriah Chilly — Deft

ff 13.68

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit. Sept. A. Barham James B. Heathcote W.m Howell Matthew Howell Richard H. Branch. Edwin Williams Samuel Mills Alfred J. Brittle Richard Helsend Joseph Jeffers Jesse Chappell & John Holland, who being elected tried & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in the words following "We of the jury assess the Plaintiff damages at sixty three dollars thirty seven cents with interest from April 16th 1838 till paid" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty three dollars and thirty seven cents the damages aforesaid as aforesaid with interest thereon from the 16th April 1838 till paid and his costs by his suit expended &c. and the said Defendant in Mercy &c.

Fi. fa. issued

Overton & Bernard & Otheldred Briggs merchants & partners in trade & under the style and firm of Bernard & Briggs — Pltff
against — } In Case
Robert Bruing — Deft

ff 11.53

This day came the Plaintiff by their attorney and thereupon came a jury to wit Sept. A. Barham James B. Heathcote Alfred J. Brittle Richard Helsend Joseph Jeffers Jesse Chappell John Holland William Howell Matthew Howell Richard H. Branch Edwin Williams Samuel Mills who being duly elected tried & sworn to enquire of the damages in this suit upon their oath returned a verdict in the words following "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess their damages to $105.20 with interest thereon from the first day of January 1838 till paid" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against

Fi. fa. issued